

ISSN 1881-5456

**International Journal of**

# **LOGISTICS and SCM Systems**

**Volume2 Number1 Nov., 2007**

**Official Journal :**

**The International Federation of LOGISTICS and SCM Systems**

**The Asia Pacific Federation of LOGISTICS and SCM Systems**



# *International Journal of* **Logistics and SCM Systems**

*Official Journal:*

*The International Federation of LOGISTICS and SCM Systems  
The Asia Pacific Federation of LOGISTICS and SCM Systems*

*Yutaka Karasawa*

*Jessie Chung, Koichi Osawa, Yutaka  
Karasawa, Akihiro Watanabe, and Keizo  
Wakabayashi*

*Yu Fujita, Keizo Wakabayashi, Yutaka  
Karasawa, Akihiro Watanabe and  
Koichi Osawa*

*Makoto Yamashita, Katsuki Fujisawa  
and Kazuhide Nakata*

*Yusuke Satou, Jun Usuki, Masatoshi  
Kitaoka and Satoshi Tange*

*Shusaku Hiraki, Takaya Ichimura,  
Hiroshi Katayama and Kazuyoshi Ishii*

*Mitsuyoshi Horikawa, Takeo Takeno and  
Mitsumasa Sugawara*

*The Jin Ai and Voratas  
Kachitvichyanukul*

*Navee Chiadamrong and Priyanka  
Kohly*

*Jun Toyotani, Kyoichi Mine, Akihiro  
Watanabe, Keizo Wakabayashi, Koichi  
Osawa, Yu Fujita and Yutaka Karasawa*

*Takeo Takeno, Mitsumasa Sugawara and  
Yasuyuki Murakami*

*S. Komolavanij, K. Mingsakun and W.  
Kongprawechnon*

*Shingo Ishikawa and Masanobu  
Matsumaru*

Foreword

A Study on Redesign of Reverse Logistics Network in Electric Home  
Appliances Recycling System

Decision Making about Getting Backhaul Load and Improvement of Load  
Efficiency for Truckload Carriers

Parallel Solver for Semidefinite Programming

Comparison on the Economical Efficiency between Finished  
Products and Parts Stock in Production Systems

The Effects of Shortening the Transportation Lead-time in the International  
Cooperative Global Complementary Production Systems

Inventory Management System Using RFID Tags for Supply Chain  
Management

A Particle Swarm Optimization for the Capacitated Vehicle Routing  
Problem

Optimal Design of a Man-Machine Manufacturing Cell Using Statistical  
Approach and Economic Consideration

XML Application Method and Processing Characteristics for Physical  
Distribution System using Handicap Terminal

Development of Electronic Data Interchange System for Fresh Seafood  
Supply Chain Enhancing the Function of Central Wholesale Market

Fuzzy Bineray Programing for Outsourcing in Supply Chain Management

Exchange Rate Forecasting in World Wide Trade



# **International Journal of Logistics and SCM Systems**

---

## **EDITOR IN CHIEF**

**Dr. Katsuhisa Ohno**

Dept. of Applied Information Science,  
Aichi Institute of Technology  
Toyota, Aichi 470-0392, Japan

## **GUEST EDITORS**

**Dr. Keizo Wakabayashi**

Nihon University

**Dr. Yutaka Karasawa**

Kanagawa University

---

## **ADVISORY BOARD**

**Dr. Cheng-Min Feng**

National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan

**Dr. Takahiro Fujimoto**

The University of Tokyo, Japan

**Dr. Hark Hwang**

Korea Advanced Inst. of Science & Technology, Korea

**Dr. Yutaka Karasawa**

Kanagawa University, Japan

**Dr. Hiroshi Katayama**

Waseda University, Japan

**Dr. Young Hae Lee**

Hanyang University, Korea

**Dr. Mario Tabucanon**

Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

**Dr. Dingwel Wang**

Nothorn University, China

---

## **MANAGING EDITOR**

**Dr. Mitsuo Gen**

Waseda University, Japan

---

## **REGIONAL EDITORS**

**Dr. Bongju Jeong**

Yonsei University, Korea

**Dr. Zhihong Jin**

Dalian Maritime University, China

**Dr. Lie-Chien Lin**

National Kaohsiung University of Science and  
Technology, Taiwan

**Dr. Voratas Kachitvichyanukul**

Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

**Rajesh Poplani**

Nanyang Tech. University, Singapore

**Dr. Shams Rahman**

RMIT University, Australia

---

## **PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS**

**The Japan Society of Logistics Systems**

**The Korean Society of SCM**

**The Taiwan Society of Logistics Systems**

**The Asia Pacific Federation of Logistics &  
SCM Systems**

**The International Federation of Logistics &  
SCM Systems**

---

## **AIMS & SCOPE**

Logistics and SCM Systems is an international journal for reporting original quality works on Logistics and Supply Chain Management(SCM) systems. It is published by the International Federations of Logistics and SCM Systems. The aims of the journal are to

- ◆ publish original, high-quality research that will have a significant impact on Logistics and SCM systems.
- ◆ develop, Promote and coordinate the theory and practice of Logistics and SCM systems;
- ◆ encourage the dissemination of new techniques and applications to Logistics and SCM systems;
- ◆ provide a forum for academics and professionals to share the advanced knowledge and experiences of Logistics and SCM systems.

**Copyright** © The International Federation of Logistics and SCM Systems. All right reserved.

**Subscription:** Institutional subscription: 100US\$(12,000JP¥)/year and Individual subscription: 50US \$/year



## Australia:

Dr. Shams Rahman  
School of Management  
RMIT University, Australia

## China:

Dr. Peng Tian  
Dept. of Management Science and Engineering  
Shanghai Jiaotong University, China

## Hong Kong:

Dr. Xiande Zhao  
Dept. of Decision Sci. & Managerial Economics  
The Chinese University of Hong Kong, H. K.

## India:

Dr. Pramond K. Jain  
Dept. of Mechanical & Industrial Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, India

## Japan:

Dr. Shusaku Hiraki  
Dept. of Economics & Information Science  
Hiroshima Shudo University, Japan

Dr. Masanobu Matsumaru  
Dept. of Industrial & Systems Engineering  
Tokai University, Japan

## Korea:

Dr. Kap Hwan Kim  
Dept. of Industrial Engineering  
Pusan National University, Korea

Dr. Suk-Chul Rim  
Dept. of Industrial & Information Systems  
Engineering  
Ajou University, Korea

## Taiwan:

Dr. Yon-Chun Chou  
Institute of Industrial Engineering  
National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Dr. Yen-Chen Jim Wu  
National Kaoshiung First Science and  
Technology, Taiwan

## Thailand:

Dr. Voratas Kachitvichyanukul  
Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

Dr. Paisal Yenradee  
Sirithorn Institute of Technology, Thailand



## Organization

# of The International Federation of Logistics and S.C.M. Systems

Volume2 Number1 Nov., 2007

## Chairman

### Karasawa, Yutaka

*Chairman of The Japan Society of Logistics Systems And Dr. & Emeritus Professor of Kanagawa University, Japan*

## Vice Chairman

### Feng, Cheng-Min

*Chairman of The Taiwan Society of Logistics Systems And Ph.D. & Professor of National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan*

### Fujimoto, Takahiro

*Vice Chairman of The Japan Society of Logistics Systems And DBA & Professor of The University of Tokyo, Japan*

### Katayama, Hitoshi

*Vice Chairman of The Japan Society of Logistics Systems And Dr. & Professor of Waseda University, Japan*

### Lee, Young Hae

*Chairman of The Korea Society of SCM And Dr. & Professor of Hanyang University, Korea*

### Pongchai Athikomrattanakul

*Ph.D. & Professor of King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Thailand*



---

## CONTENTS

---

- 1 *Yutaka Karasawa*  
Foreword
- 2 *Jessie Chung, Koichi Osawa, Yutaka Karasawa, Akihiro Watanabe, and Keizo Wakabayashi*  
A Study on Redesign of Reverse Logistics Network in Electric Home Appliances Recycling System
- 12 *Yu Fujita, Keizo Wakabayashi, Yutaka Karasawa, Akihiro Watanabe and Koichi Osawa*  
Decision Making about Getting Backhaul Load and Improvement of Load Efficiency for Truckload Carriers
- 22 *Makoto Yamashita, Katsuki Fujisawa and Kazuhide Nakata*  
Parallel Solver for Semidefinite Programming
- 30 *Yusuke Satou, Jun Usuki, Masatoshi Kitaoka and Satoshi Tange*  
Comparison on the Economical Efficiency between Finished Products and Parts Stock in Production Systems
- ICLS 2007 Special Session
- 38 *Shusaku Hiraki, Takaya Ichimura, Hiroshi Katayama and Kazuyoshi Ishii*  
The Effects of Shortening the Transportation Lead-time in the International Cooperative Global Complementary Production Systems
- 44 *Mitsuyoshi Horikawa, Takeo Takeno and Mitsumasa Sugawara*  
Inventory Management System Using RFID Tags for Supply Chain Management
- 50 *The Jin Ai and Voratas Kachitvichyanukul*  
A Particle Swarm Optimization for the Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem
- 56 *Navee Chiadamrong and Priyanka Kohly*  
Optimal Design of a Man-Machine Manufacturing Cell Using Statistical Approach and Economic Consideration
- 66 *Jun Toyotani, Kyoichi Mine, Akihiro Watanabe, Keizo Wakabayashi, Koichi Osawa, Yu Fujita and Yutaka Karasawa*  
XML Application Method and Processing Characteristics for Physical Distribution System using Handicap Terminal
- 74 *Takeo Takeno, Mitsumasa Sugawara and Yasuyuki Murakami*  
Development of Electronic Data Interchange System for Fresh Seafood Supply Chain Enhancing the Function of Central Wholesale Market
- 80 *S. Komolavanij, K. Mingsakun and W. Kongprawechanon*  
Fuzzy Bineray Programing for Outsourcing in Supply Chain Management
- 88 *Shingo Ishikawa and Masanobu Matsumaru*  
Exchange Rate Forecasting in World Wide Trade



# A Particle Swarm Optimization for the Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem

The Jin Ai<sup>\*A</sup> and Voratas Kachitvichyanukul<sup>\*B</sup>

Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

<sup>\*A</sup> e-mail:thejin.ai@ait.ac.th

<sup>\*B</sup> e-mail:voratas@ait.ac.th

## Abstract

This paper proposed a random-key based solution representation and decoding method for solving the Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (CVRP) using Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). The solution representation is  $(n+2m)$ -dimensional particle for CVRP with  $n$  customers and  $m$  vehicles. The decoding method starts with transforming the particle to a priority list of customer to enter route and priority matrix of vehicle to serve each customer. The vehicle routes are constructed based on the customer priority list and vehicle priority matrix. The proposed representation is applied using GLNPSO, a PSO algorithm with multiple social learning structures. The proposed algorithm is tested using the benchmark data set provided by Christofides. The computational result shows that this representation and decoding method is promising to be applied for CVRP.

**Keywords:** Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem, Particle Swarm Optimization, Random Key Representation, Decoding Method

## 1. Introduction

The Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (CVRP), which was firstly introduced by Danzig and Ramser [1], is a problem to design a set of vehicle routes in which a fixed fleet of delivery vehicles of uniform capacity must service known customer demands for a single commodity from a common depot at minimum cost. In the literature [2]–[4], CVRP can be formally defined as follows. Let  $G=(V,A)$  be a graph where  $V=\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a vertex set, and  $A=\{(v_i, v_j) | v_i, v_j \in V, i \neq j\}$  is an arc set. Vertex  $v_0$  represents a depot, while the remaining vertices correspond to customers. Associated with  $A$  are a cost or distance matrix  $(d_{ij})$  and a travel time matrix  $(t_{ij})$ . Each customer has a non-negative demand  $q_i$  and a service time  $s_i$ . A fleet of  $m$  identical vehicles of capacity  $Q$  is based at the depot. The number of vehicles is either known in advance or treated as a decision variable. The CVRP consists of designing a set of at most  $m$  delivery or collection routes such that

(1) each route starts and ends at the depot, (2) each customer is visited exactly once by exactly one vehicle, (3) the total demand of each route does not exceed  $Q$ , (4) the total duration of each route (including travel and service times) does not exceed a preset limit  $D$ , and (5) the total routing cost is minimized.

CVRP is an NP-hard problem, which means that any optimization algorithm for their solution has worst case running time which is likely to grow exponentially with problem size [5]. In other words, there is a high possibility that an optimal solution of a large VRP problem may only be found with excessively long solution time. To overcome this situation, evolutionary computing methods have been used to find a near optimal solution in a reasonable amount of time, for example: Genetic Algorithm [6]–[7], Ant Colony Optimization [8]–[9], and Particle Swarm Optimization [10].

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) is a population based search method proposed by Kennedy and Eberhart [11], which were motivated by the group organism behavior such as bee swarm, fish school, and bird flock. PSO imitated the physical movements of the individuals in the swarm as a searching method, altogether with its cognitive and social behavior as local and global exploration abilities. In the PSO, a solution of a specific problem is being represented by  $n$ -dimensional position of a particle. The ability of particles to search for solution is represented by means of velocity vector. The PSO algorithm starts with population of particles with random initial position and velocity. The population of particles is usually called a swarm. In one iteration step, every particle is moved from previous position to the new position based on its velocity; and its velocity is updated based on its personal best position and the global best position obtained so far. Once a particle reach a position which has a better objective function than the previous best objective function for this particle, the personal best position is updated. Also, if it found better objective function than the previous best objective function for whole swarm, the global best position is updated. A brief and complete survey on PSO mechanism, technique, and application is provided by Kennedy & Eberhart [12] and also Clerc [13].

It is obviously seen that the PSO works on



finding the best position and the position is represented by real number. To make PSO applicable to specific problem; the relationship between the position of particles and the solutions of that problem must be clearly defined. In CVRP case, the particle's position represents the vehicle route. This paper proposed a specific solution representation and its decoding method to relate position in PSO with CVRP solution. The proposed representation is different from representation of Chen *et al.* [10] in two aspects. First, the proposed representation is designed for the classic variant of PSO, i.e. directly using real value of position, instead of the discrete version. Second, the proposed representation is implemented in a pure PSO without any local search or other hybrid method.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follow: Section 2 explains the proposed solution representation and decoding method. Section 3 reviews PSO framework for solving CVRP. Section 4 discusses the computational experiment of PSO that applied the proposed solution representation on benchmark data set. Finally, Section 5 discusses the result of this study and suggests further improvement of proposed algorithm.

## 2. Proposed Solution Representation and Decoding Method

In PSO, a problem specific solution is represented by position of particle in

multi-dimensional space. The proposed solution representation of CVRP with  $n$  customers and  $m$  vehicles consists of  $(n+2m)$  dimensional particle. Each particle dimension is encoded as a real number. The first  $n$  dimension is related to customers, each customer will be represented by one dimension. The last  $2m$  dimension is related to vehicles, each vehicle will be represented by two dimensions as the reference point in Cartesian diagram/map.

In order to decode this solution representation into the CVRP solution, three steps are taken. First, extract the information from the first  $n$  dimension to make a priority list of customers. Second, extract the information from the last  $2m$  dimension to set the reference point of vehicles and use this information to create priority matrix of vehicles. Third, construct the vehicle routes based on the customer priority list and vehicle priority matrix.

For illustration, consider CVRP problem with 6 customers and 2 vehicles. A particle representation for the solution of this problem will consist of  $(6+2.2) = 10$  dimensions. The first six dimensions are related to the customers and the four remaining are related to the vehicles. Suppose a particle has certain positional values as describe below in part (a) of Figure 1. The decoding process of this particle begins by constructing a priority list of customers by sorting in ascending order the position value and taking the dimension index as the list.

The next step is extracting the reference point

**(a) Particle position**

Dimension ( $d$ )	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Value( $x_{id}$ )	0.4	0.2	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.8	1.5	0.4	1.0

**(b) First Step: Customer Priority List**

$d$	6	2	1	4	5	3
$x_{id}$	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.9
Customer Priority List	6	2	1	4	5	3

**(c) Second Step: Vehicle Priority Matrix**

Customer	Relative Distance		Vehicle Priority	
	Vehicle 1	Vehicle 2	Priority 1	Priority 2
1	0.66	0.26	2	1
2	0.70	0.40	2	1
3	0.13	1.05	1	2
4	0.21	0.84	1	2
5	0.35	0.59	1	2
6	0.35	1.08	1	2

**(d) Third Step: Vehicle Route**

Vehicle Routes						
Vehicle 1	0	6	3	4	5	0
Vehicle 2	0	2	1	0		

Figure 1: Conversion Process of Solution Representation to Vehicle Routes



for vehicles; In this case, the 7<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> dimension of the particle will be the reference point of Vehicle 1 and the 8<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> dimension of the particle will be the reference point of Vehicle 2. The priority matrix of vehicles is constructed based on the relative distance between these points and customers location. The distance could be calculated in every case of CVRP, since the location of customer is usually placed in a Cartesian map. A customer is prioritized to be served by vehicle which has closer distance. For example, the vehicle priority of customer 1 is vehicle 1 then vehicle 2, since customer 1 location is closer to the vehicle 2 reference point than to vehicle 1. This conversion result is illustrated in the part (b) of Figure 1.

The last decoding step is to construct a route based on the customer priority list and the vehicle priority matrix. One by one each customer in the customer priority list is assigned to a vehicle based on its priority, vehicle capacity constraint, and route time constraint. This newly assigned customer is inserted to the best sequence in the existing vehicle route based on the least additional cost or distance. This heuristic is usually called the cheapest insertion heuristic. In the illustration in Figure 1: customer 6 is assigned to vehicle 1, customer 2 to vehicle 2, customer 1 to vehicle 2, customer 4 to vehicle 1, customer 5 to vehicle 1, and customer 3 to vehicle 1. Hence, the final vehicle route is displayed in the bottom part of Figure 1. In this figure, '0' represents the depot. Note that the cheapest insertion heuristic may make the customer sequence in the route different from the order of customer assignment, for example: customer 3, which is the last assigned customer, appeared in the middle of the route because of this heuristic. The process and final result of this example are illustrated in Figure 2. The details of this decoding procedure are described in Algorithm 1.

### Algorithm 1: Decoding Method

Decode from Particle Position ( $x_{id}$  – position of the  $i^{th}$  particle at the  $d^{th}$  dimension) into Vehicle Route ( $R_{ij}$  – route of the  $j^{th}$  vehicle corresponding to the  $i^{th}$  particle)

1. Construct the priority list of customers ( $U$ )
  - a. Build set  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $U = \emptyset$
  - b. Select  $c$  from set  $S$  where  $x_{ic} = \min(x_{id})$ ,  $d \in S$
  - c. Add  $c$  to the last position in set  $U$ .
  - d. Remove  $c$  from set  $S$ .
  - e. Repeat step 1.b. until  $S = \emptyset$
2. Construct the vehicle priority matrix ( $V$ )
  - a. Set the vehicle reference position. For  $j=1 \dots m$ , set  $xref_j = x_{i,n+j}$  and  $yref_j = x_{i,n+m+j}$
  - b. For each customer  $i$ ,  $i=1 \dots n$ 
    - i. Calculate the distance between customer  $i$  and vehicle reference points using following formula
$$\delta_j = \sqrt{(xpos_i - xref_j)^2 + (ypos_i - yref_j)^2}$$
    - ii. Build set  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $V_i = \emptyset$
    - iii. Select  $c$  from set  $S$  where  $\delta_c = \min(\delta_d)$ ,  $d \in S$
    - iv. Add  $c$  to the last position in set  $V_i$
    - v. Remove  $c$  from set  $S$
    - vi. Repeat step 2.b.iii until  $S = \emptyset$
3. Construct vehicle route.
  - a. Set  $k=1$
  - b. Add customer one by one to the route
    - i. Set  $l=U_k$  and  $p=1$
    - ii. Set  $j=V_{l,p}$ . Evaluate the vehicle load if customer  $l$  is added to the route  $R_{ij}$ .
    - iii. Make a candidate of new route by inserting customer  $l$  to the best sequence in the route  $R_{ij}$ , which has the smallest additional cost (cheapest insertion heuristic).
  - iv. Check the capacity and route time




Figure 2: Graphical Illustration of Vehicle Routes Construction on Cartesian Map



- constraint of the candidate route.
- v. If a feasible solution is reached, update the route  $R_{ij}$  with the candidate route; go to step 3.c.
- vi. If  $p=m$ , go to step 3.c. Otherwise, set  $p=p+1$  and repeat 3.b.ii
- c. If  $k=n$ , stop. Otherwise, set  $k=k+1$  and repeat 3.b.

### 3. PSO Framework for Solving CVRP

The proposed representation is applied using GLNPSO, a PSO Algorithm with multiple social structures [14], with slight modification on the velocity and position limitation. In this application, no velocity and position limitation is incorporated. It can be done due to the flexibility of this representation in which all real value can be converted into vehicle route. By applying no restriction on velocity and position value, the additional effort for checking and adjusting these values can be eliminated. The details of the GLNPSO for solving CVRP are explained below.

#### Notation

- $t$  : Iteration index,  $t=1 \dots T$
- $i$  : Particle index,  $i=1 \dots I$
- $d$  : Dimension index,  $d=1 \dots D$
- $u$  : Uniform random number in the interval [0,1]
- $w(t)$  : Inertia weight in the  $t^{th}$  iteration
- $v_{id}(t)$  : Velocity of the  $i^{th}$  particle at the  $d^{th}$  dimension in the  $t^{th}$  iteration
- $x_{id}(t)$  : Position of the  $i^{th}$  particle at the  $d^{th}$  dimension in the  $t^{th}$  iteration
- $p_{id}$  : Personal best position (pbest) of the  $i^{th}$  particle at the  $d^{th}$  dimension
- $p_{gd}$  : Global best position (gbest) at the  $d^{th}$  dimension
- $p_{id}^L$  : Local best position (lbest) of the  $i^{th}$  particle at the  $d^{th}$  dimension
- $p_{id}^N$  : Near neighbor best position (nbest) of the  $i^{th}$  particle at the  $d^{th}$  dimension
- $c_p$  : Personal best position acceleration constant
- $c_g$  : Global best position acceleration constant
- $c_l$  : Local best position acceleration constant
- $c_n$  : Near neighbor best position acceleration constant

#### Algorithm 2: GLNPSO Framework for CVRP

1. Initialize  $I$  particles as a population, generate the  $i^{th}$  particle with random position  $X_i$  in the range  $[X^{min}, X^{max}]$ , velocity  $V_i=0$  and personal best  $P_i=X_i$  for  $i=1 \dots I$ . Set iteration  $t=1$ .
2. For  $i=1 \dots I$ , decode  $X_i(t)$  to a set of vehicle route  $R_i$ . (Algorithm 1 in Section 2)
3. For  $i=1 \dots I$ , compute the performance measurement of  $R_i$ , and set this as the fitness

value of  $X_i$ , represented by  $\phi(X_i)$ .

4. Update pbest: For  $i=1 \dots I$ , update  $P_i=X_i$ , if  $\phi(X_i) < \phi(P_i)$ .
5. Update gbest: For  $i=1 \dots I$ , update  $P_g=P_i$ , if  $\phi(P_i) < \phi(P_g)$ .
6. Update lbest: For  $i=1 \dots I$ , among all pbest from  $K$  neighbors of the  $i^{th}$  particle, set the personal best which obtains the least fitness value to be  $P_i^L$ .
7. Generate nbest: For  $i=1 \dots I$ , and  $d=1 \dots D$ , set  $p_{id}^N=p_{jd}$  that maximizing fitness-distance-ratio ( $FDR$ ) for  $j=1 \dots I$ . Where  $FDR$  is defined as

$$FDR = \frac{\phi(X_i) - \phi(P_j)}{|x_{id} - p_{jd}|} \text{ which } i \neq j$$

8. Update the velocity and the position of each  $i^{th}$  particle:

$$w(t) = w(T) + \frac{t-T}{1-T} [w(1) - w(T)]$$

$$v_{id}(t+1) = c_p u(p_{id} - x_{id}(t)) + c_g u(p_{gd} - x_{id}(t)) + c_l u(p_{id}^L - x_{id}(t)) + c_n u(p_{id}^N - x_{id}(t)) + w(t)v_{id}(t)$$

$$w(t) = w(T) + \frac{t-T}{1-T} [w(1) - w(T)]$$

$$x_{id}(t+1) = x_{id}(t) + v_{id}(t+1)$$

9. If the stopping criterion is met, stop. Otherwise,  $t=t+1$  and return to step 2.

### 4. Computational Experiment

A computational experiment is conducted by applying this proposed algorithm to the benchmark data set of Christofides et al. [15]. In this set of benchmark problem, the position of depot and customer are given in Cartesian coordinate map. Hence, no additional effort is needed to calculate distance in the proposed decoding method. This benchmark problem consists of problems with randomly distributed customers around the depot (vrpnc1 – vrpnc10) and problem with clustered customers (vrpnc11 – vrpnc14). Some problem is without route time constraint (vrpnc1 – vrpnc5, vrpnc11 – vrpnc12) and the other is with route time constraint (vrpnc6 – vrpnc10, vrpnc13 – vrpnc14). The number of customers in each problem varies from 50 customers (vrpnc1 and vrpnc6), 75 customers (vrpnc2 and vrpnc7), 100 customers (vrpnc3, vrpnc8, vrpnc12, and vrpnc14), 120 customers (vrpnc11 and vrpnc13), 150 customers (vrpnc4 and vrpnc9), and 199 customers (vrpnc5 and vrpnc10).

The algorithm is implemented in C# language using Microsoft Visual Studio.NET 1.1 on a PC with Intel P4 3.4 GHz – 1 GB RAM. For each data set, 5 replications of the algorithm are tried. The PSO parameters are set based on the result of some preliminary experiments that are conducted to observe the behavior of algorithm in different



parameter setting. The parameters are: Number of particle,  $I=100$ ; Number of iteration,  $T=1000$ ; Number of neighbor,  $K=5$ ; First inertia weight,  $w(1)=0.9$ ; Last inertia weight,  $w(T)=0.4$ ; Personal best position acceleration constant,  $c_p=0.5$ ; Global best position acceleration constant,  $c_g=0.5$ ; Local best position acceleration constant,  $c_l=1.5$ ; Near neighbor best position acceleration constant,  $c_n=1.5$ . The range of initial position is  $[X^{\min}, X^{\max}]=[0,100]$ , since the position of customer and depot in the map for all problem is located within this range.

Table 1 shows the experimental results for some problems that the algorithm could solve effectively. The average, standard deviation, and minimum objective function value of each problem are presented altogether with the percentage deviation (%Dev) of the value from the best known solution which is available in the VRP web (<http://neo.lcc.uma.es/radi-aeb/WebVRP/index.html?/results/BestResults.htm>). It is shown that the average percentage deviation for the problem with randomly distributed customer and without route time constraint (vrpnc1 – vrpnc5) is less than 8%, for the problem with randomly distributed customer and with route time constraint (vrpnc6 and vrpnc8), even only for two from five cases, is less than 3%, and for the problem with clustered customer (vrpnc11 – vrpnc14), both for problem with and without route time constraint, the average percentage deviation is less than 3%. In addition, the computational time also provide promising result, since all problems need less than 7 minutes for the iteration process.

## 5. Discussion and Further Study

The computational result shows that the proposed solution representation and decoding procedure is quite effective for solving the CVRP using pure PSO. This promising result may come from the following two reasons. First, the decoding scheme gives higher possibility to get feasible solution, since a rigorous constraint checking has already been done while constructing the route.

Second, the solution quality is improved from the cheapest insertion heuristic which is applied during the route construction.

Especially for the problem with clustered customers, the effectiveness of proposed method is well proven. It is empirically shown that this method is more effective when it is applied to the problems with clustered customers than the problem with randomly distributed customer. The result is less than 3% in average deviation from the best known solution. It is not a surprising result since the nature of decoding process has a natural tendency to group customers for a vehicle that located surrounding its reference point.

In spite of the promising result, there are two findings from the result that must be further explored. First, there is a tendency that the percentage deviation is increasing while the number of customer is increasing. Second, the implementation result on problem vrpnc7, vrpnc9, and vrpnc10, which are problem with randomly distributed customers and with route time constraint, are not effective. The result of these problems with the same number of vehicles as the best known solution always gives a solution with some customers remain unserved by any vehicle. Since these two facts might be caused by the construction heuristic, the solution representation, or the problem case characteristics, further exploration on this area is still required.

Note again that the result on this paper is gained by pure PSO algorithm. Hence, it is possible to improve the result by the addition of effective local search method. Since the local search algorithm is usually computationally exhaustive, it may be done infrequently during the iteration process, for example, perform the local search only on the global best solution every 100 iterations. The implementation of local search needs to be further studied.

There is some other aspect that may improve the performance of the proposed algorithm, i.e. parameter optimization and programming implementation. Although it came from some preliminary experiment,

Table 1: Result of the Computational Experiment

Problem	Objective Function Value				Average Computational Time (second)
	Average (%Dev)	Standard Deviation	Minimum (%Dev)	Best Known	
vrpnc1	527.49 (0.55%)	6.44	524.61 (0.00%)	524.61	46.53
vrpnc2	872.13 (4.41%)	5.00	865.86 (3.66%)	835.26	98.83
vrpnc3	846.27 (2.44%)	3.42	840.91 (1.79%)	826.14	123.18
vrpnc4	1079.56 (4.97%)	10.38	1068.22 (3.87%)	1028.42	234.53
vrpnc5	1391.18 (7.74%)	28.07	1365.15 (5.72%)	1291.29	375.08
vrpnc6	561.71 (1.13%)	1.12	560.89 (0.98%)	555.43	51.49
vrpnc8	885.75 (2.29%)	5.38	878.59 (1.46%)	865.94	132.96
vrpnc11	1049.54 (0.71%)	4.67	1045.38 (0.31%)	1042.11	132.81
vrpnc12	824.35 (0.58%)	3.42	820.62 (0.13%)	819.56	127.94
vrpnc13	1585.05 (2.85%)	12.09	1569.14 (1.84%)	1541.14	176.71
vrpnc14	872.20 (0.67%)	7.98	866.37 (0.00%)	866.37	136.65



the parameter setting that has been used in this paper may not be the best one. Also, the programming implementation of the algorithm has not been optimized. Since these efforts may yet contribute to better performance in both the solution quality and computational time, a further study on these aspects is still necessary.

### Acknowledgement

The research is part of the research program of the High Performance Computing Group at Asian Institute of Technology (AITHPC) which is support by the Thai GRID project. The authors wish to thank AITHPC and the Thai GRID Center for the access of computing facility and the technical support.

### References

- [1] Dantzig, G.B. and Ramser, J.H. (1959). The truck dispatching problem. *Management Science*, 6: 80–91.
- [2] Cordeau, J.F., Gendreau, M., Laporte, G., Potvin, J.Y., and Semet, F. (2002). A guide to vehicle routing heuristics. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 53: 512–522.
- [3] Lygaard, J., Letchford, A.N., and Eglese, R.W. (2004) A new branch-and-cut algorithm for the capacitated vehicle routing problem. *Mathematical Programming*, 100: 423–445.
- [4] Prins, C. (2004). A simple and effective evolutionary algorithm for the vehicle routing problem. *Computers & Operations Research*, 31: 1985–2002.
- [5] Haimovich, M., Rinnooy Kan, A.H.G., and Stougie, L. (1988). Analysis of heuristics for vehicle routing problems. In Golden, B.L. and Assad, A.A. *Vehicle Routing: Methods and Studies*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [6] Baker, B.M. and Ayechew, M.A. (2003). A genetic algorithm for the vehicle routing problem. *Computers & Operations Research*, 30: 787–800.
- [7] Berger, J. and Barkaoui, M. (2003). A new hybrid genetic algorithm for the capacitated vehicle routing problem. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 54: 1254–1262.
- [8] Bullnheimer, R., Hartl, F. and Strauss, C. (1999). An Improved Ant system Algorithm for the Vehicle Routing Problem. *Annals of Operations Research*, 89, 319–328.
- [9] Doerner, K., Gronalt, M., Hartl, R.F., Reimann, M., Strauss, C. and Stummer, M. (2002). SavingAnts for the Vehicle Routing Problem. In Cagnoni et al. (Eds.): *EvoWorkshops 2002*, LNCS 2279, pp. 11–20, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- [10] Chen, A.L., Yang, G.K., and Wu, Z.M. (2006). Hybrid discrete particle swarm optimization algorithm for capacitated vehicle routing

problem. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE A*, 7:607–614.


- [11] Kennedy, J. and Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks*, Volume 4, 1942–1948.
- [12] Kennedy, J. and Eberhart, R. C. (2001). *Swarm Intelligence*. Morgan: Kaufmann Publishers.
- [13] Clerc, M. (2006). *Particle Swarm Optimization*. ISTE.
- [14] Pongchairerks, P. and Kachitvichyanukul, V. (2005). A Non-Homogenous Particle Swarm Optimization with Multiple Social Structures. *Proceedings of the 2005 International Conference on Simulation and Modeling*, A5-02.
- [15] Christofides, N., Mingozzi, A., and Toth, P. (1979). The vehicle routing problem, in *Combinatorial Optimization*, Christofides, N., Mingozzi, A., Toth, P., and Sandi, C. (eds.), John Wiley & Sons, Chicester.

**The Jin Ai** is a Doctoral Candidate in Industrial



Engineering & Management, School of Engineering and Technology, Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand. He is on study leave from Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta, Indonesia. His research interests include evolutionary computation and its application on industrial systems. Currently, he is working on the application of particle swarm optimization on vehicle routing problems.

**Voratas Kachitvichyanukul** is an Associate



Professor in Industrial Engineering & Management, School of Engineering and Technology, Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand. He received a Ph.D. from the School of Industrial Engineering at Purdue University in 1982. He has extensive experiences in simulation modeling of manufacturing systems. He had worked for FORTUNE 500 Companies such as Compaq Computer Corporation and Motorola Incorporated. He had also worked for SEMATECH as technical coordinator of the future factory program. His teaching and research interests include planning and scheduling, high performance computing and applied operations research with special emphasis on industrial systems.